



The Town of McCandless

Pollutant Reduction Plan Pine Creek - North Park Lake HUC-12 Watershed



Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System

Kelley R. Harrington, E.I.T.
Project Manager
Ashley A. Neptune, P.E.
Adam J. Isenberg, GIS Technician
Daniel S. Deiseroth, P.E.
Town Engineer

Latest Revision: January 17, 2023

Table of Contents

ACRONYMS	2
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	3
Chapter 1. Introduction	5
1.1 Purpose.....	5
1.2 Pine Creek - North Park Lake Watershed Background	5
Chapter 2. Outfall Sewersheds & Planning Areas	6
2.1 Delineation Procedures	6
2.2 Planning Area.....	6
2.3 Parsing.....	6
Chapter 3. Existing Loading without BMPs	7
3.1 WikiWatershed Modeling Overview	7
3.2 WikiWatershed Modeling Methodology	7
3.3 WikiWatershed Modeling Results	8
3.3.1 Pine Creek - North Park Lake HUC-12 Watershed Results	8
3.4 Final Existing Loading and Required Reductions	9
Chapter 4. Achieving Load Reductions	10
4.1 Structural BMPs.....	12
4.2 Summary of Proposed BMPs.....	15
Appendix A – Planning Area Map.....	0
Appendix B – Existing Loads without BMPs.....	1
Appendix C – Proposed Structural BMPs Maps.....	2
Appendix D – Proposed BMPs Load Calculations.....	3
Appendix E – Proposed BMPs Load Reduction Table.....	4

ACRONYMS

BMP	Best Management Practice
HUC	Hydraulic Unit Code
MCM	Minimum Control Measure
MS4	Municipal Separate Storm Sewer
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
PADEP	Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection
PRP	Pollutant Reduction Plan
TP	Total Phosphorus

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Impaired Waters - surface waters that fail to attain one or more of its designated uses under 25 Pa. Code Chapter 93 and as listed in Categories 4 and 5 of Pennsylvania's Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report.

Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report - the report published every other year by PADEP to report on the conditions of Pennsylvania's surface waters to satisfy sections 305(b) and 303(d) of the CWA.

Nutrients – refers to total nitrogen and total phosphorus

Outfall - a point source as defined by 40 CFR § 122.2 at the point where a municipal separate storm sewer discharges to surface waters and does not include open conveyances connecting two municipal separate storm sewers, or pipes, tunnels or other conveyances which connect segments of the same stream or other surface waters and are used to convey surface waters. (25 Pa. Code § 92a.32(a) and 40 CFR § 122.26(b)(9))

Outfall Sewershed - the land area that drains to an individual MS4 outfall, observation point, or discharge point from within the jurisdiction of the MS4 permittee.

Parsing - a process in which land area is removed from a Planning Area in order to calculate the actual or target pollutant loads that are applicable to an MS4. Land area which can be parsed includes areas that do not drain to the MS4's system or land that is already covered by an NPDES permit for control of stormwater.

Planning Area – the area used to calculate existing loads and plan load reductions for.

Sediment – refers to siltation and suspended solids; all of which are inorganic solids.

Structural Best Management Practices - means stormwater storage and management practices including, but not limited to, wet ponds and extended detention outlet structures; filtration practices such as grassed swales, sand filters and filter strips; infiltration practices such as infiltration basins and infiltration trenches; and other BMPs as referenced in Chapter 6 of the Pennsylvania Stormwater BMP Manual (363-0300-002).

Surface Waters - perennial and intermittent streams, rivers, lakes, reservoirs, ponds, wetlands, springs, natural seeps and estuaries, excluding water at facilities approved for wastewater treatment such as wastewater treatment impoundments, cooling water ponds and constructed wetlands used as part of a wastewater treatment process. (25 Pa. Code § 92a.2)

Urbanized Area - land area comprising one or more places (central place(s)) and the adjacent densely settled surrounding area (urban fringe) that together have a residential population of at least 50,000 and an overall population density of at least 1,000 people per square mile, as defined by the United States Bureau of the Census and as determined by the latest available decennial census. The urbanized area outlines the extent of automatically regulated areas.

Chapter 1. Introduction

1.1 Purpose

Municipalities throughout the country are under a federal mandate requiring a stormwater management program for reducing pollution impacts from stormwater runoff. In 2003, the Town of McCandless was issued a Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit through the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) and the Environmental Protection Agency. The Town is regulated under PADEP's General NPDES Permit (PAG-136140). Implemented through the Clean Water Act, the permit's numerous requirements are through six Minimum Control Measures (MCMs). In addition, PADEP is requiring MS4s that discharge to an impaired stream prepare a Pollutant Reduction Plan (PRP) for sediment, nitrogen, and/or phosphorus. The goal of the PRP is to reduce pollution caused by sediment and/or nutrients in impaired streams.

1.2 Pine Creek - North Park Lake Watershed Background

Pine Creek - North Park Lake Watershed is considered the Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC) 12 watershed. Within the Southwestern region of Pennsylvania, these HUC-12 watersheds are tributaries to either the Ohio, Monongahela, Allegheny, or Youghiogheny Rivers. Pine Creek - North Park Lake is tributary to the Allegheny River.

Once every two years, PADEP publishes a report entitled "Pennsylvania Integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report" that summarizes the various water quality management programs including water quality standards. The PRP was assigned for each MS4 based on the 2014 report. If a stream was assigned as impaired from siltation, organic enrichment, low dissolved oxygen, or nutrients then a PRP is required. Pine Creek and Wexford Run are both impacted by nutrients and siltation from small residential runoff and land development.

Chapter 2. Outfall Sewersheds & Planning Areas

Before beginning the calculations of the pollutant loads, the outfall sewersheds are delineated and the PRP planning area is identified.

2.1 Delineation Procedures

As part of the PRP process, outfall sewersheds are required to be delineated. An outfall sewershed is an area of land in which stormwater flows into a storm sewer system and is discharged into a stream, lake, or waterway. Accurate outfall sewersheds were drawn based on topography (2020), aerial (2021), and stream layers in ESRI ArcMap. By following these layers and the storm sewer network, all outfalls were assigned a sewershed. Aside from being a requirement of the PRP, delineation of the outfall sewersheds is useful if any parsing is implemented. Parsing is the term used by PADEP to convey detailed and analysis with the purpose of assigning responsibility.

2.2 Planning Area

The planning area is defined as the area used to calculate existing loads and plan load reductions. PADEP offered several options for how to define the planning area for each impaired water. The options vary from using a combination of the storm sewersheds to using watershed boundaries. The Town of McCandless plans to utilize the HUC-12 watershed boundary as its planning area with some additional parsing that is described in the next section.

2.3 Parsing

Once the preliminary planning area was defined; additional parsing within the area was performed to remove area that either does not drain to the MS4's system or is already covered by an NPDES permit for the control of stormwater. Parsing reduces the MS4's area of responsibility and therefore the pollutant loads. The Town of McCandless parsed out all state and county owned roads and sheet flow on private property. Appendix A illustrates the final planning area for the MS4 by displaying the HUC-12 watershed boundaries and the planning area.

Chapter 3. Existing Loading without BMPs

The PADEP provides several suggested methods that are scientifically supported for estimating the existing loads. The approved methods for calculating the loads include PADEP Simplified Method land use loading rates, MapShed, or other watershed models that reflect both overland flow and in-stream erosion components. For the purpose of this PRP, WikiWatershed Model My Watershed (MMW) was chosen as the most appropriate method. The loads generated within this PRP were calculated in August 2022.

3.1 WikiWatershed Modeling Overview

WikiWatershed MMW is a free and publicly available software developed by the Stroud Water Research Center. Within MMW there are two options for modeling water quality and quantity; Site Storm Model and Watershed Multi-Year Model. For the purposes of the PRP, the Watershed Multi-Year Model was used. This program “simulates 30-years of daily water, nutrient, and sediment fluxes using the Generalized Watershed Loading Function Enhanced (GWLF-E) model that was developed for the MapShed desktop modeling application by Barry M. Evans, Ph.D., and his group at Penn State University.” The GWLF-E model takes into account hydrology, land cover, soils, weather, topography and other environmental data to calculate sediment and nutrient loads. The model utilizes well known soil and hydrologic equations to model surface runoff and soil erosion.

For modeling surface runoff and streamflow, the GWLF-E model uses the National Resources Conservation Service Curve Number (NRCS-CN) combined with daily precipitation and temperature data. Evapotranspiration is calculated using the daily weather data and a land cover dependent factor. To model monthly erosion and sediment loss, the Universal Soil Loss Equation is applied. Nitrogen, phosphorus, and total suspended solids are modeled for each type of land cover using export coefficients for both the dissolved and solid phases. Overall, the software uses geographic data, land use runoff coefficients, daily weather, and the universal soil loss equations to calculate pollutant loads in terms of mass and concentration. Additional information on the layers and methods used in WikiWatershed MMW can be found at <https://wikiwatershed.org/documentation/mmw-tech/>.

3.2 WikiWatershed Modeling Methodology

In order for WikiWatershed MMW to perform these hydrologic calculations, an area of interest is needed. The area of interest for this PRP includes the boundaries of the HUC-12 watersheds. Once the sediment and phosphorus loads are calculated in the Multi-Year Model, the MMW Spreadsheet Tool developed by Barry Evans, Anthony Aufdenkampe, and Mike Hickman is utilized for estimating the pollutant loads in the planning areas. The land cover and sediment and phosphorus loads previously obtained from WikiWatershed MMW are entered into the spreadsheet in order to generate loading rates as pounds per year per acre. The planning area is imported into WikiWatershed MMW as the area of interest to obtain the land cover distribution data. This data is entered into the spreadsheet where it calculates the sediment and phosphorus loads from each type of land cover within the planning area.

To better estimate erosion from streambanks, coordination with the Department permitted accounting for piped streams. Piped streams do not result in eroded streambanks that contribute to sediment and nutrient loads. As a result, the MMW Spreadsheet Tool was modified to account for piped streams in the Pine Creek – North Park Lake Watershed. The length of piped streams in the watershed was determined with the same stream data that is utilized by WikiWatershed. Aerial imagery was then used to determine the approximate limits of piped stream segments. These piped stream segments and the WikiWatershed streams are illustrated in the Appendix A Planning Area Map. Approximately 3.1 kilometers of piped streams were measured and accounted for in the MMW Spreadsheet Tool. The total length of piped streams was used to determine a percentage of the total streams in the watershed with natural streambanks. Previously calculated total Stream Bank Erosion loads for sediment, nitrogen, and phosphorus were then reduced proportionally to the percentage of streams in the HUC-12 watershed.

3.3 WikiWatershed Modeling Results

The results from WikiWatershed MMW and the MMW Spreadsheet Tool can be found in Appendix B.

3.3.1 Pine Creek - North Park Lake HUC-12 Watershed Results

The PRP comprised in this report is focused on load reductions on a HUC-12 watershed basis. Pine Creek - North Park Lake watershed is about 11,458 acres in size, with only 4,215 of those total acres located within the Town of McCandless. However, after parsing, the total planning area within the MS4 boundary is 1,615 acres. Table 3-1 shows the amount of sediment and phosphorus pollution from developed land cover and undeveloped land cover.

Table 3-1: HUC-12 Existing Pollutant Load Results without BMPs

SOURCE	SEDIMENT (lbs/yr)	PHOSPHORUS (lbs/yr)
Developed Land Cover	570,761.97	193.39
Undeveloped Land Cover	70,834.09	29.33
Total	641,596.06	222.72

3.4 Final Existing Loading and Required Reductions

The final existing loading and required reduction is illustrated in Table 3-2. The required reduction is based on a 10% reduction for sediment and 5% for phosphorus. The MS4 plans to take a presumption approach that a 10% reduction of sediment will also accomplish a 5% phosphorus reduction.

Table 3-2 Final Existing Loads and Required Reductions

POLLUTANT	FINAL EXISTING LOAD (lbs/yr)	REQUIRED REDUCTION (lbs/yr)
Sediment	641,596.06	64,159.61
Phosphorus	222.72	11.14

Chapter 4. Achieving Load Reductions

Based on the PRP requirements, the final existing load calculated in Chapter 3 needs to be reduced by implementing proposed structural BMPs. Appendix C includes maps of the proposed BMP locations and associated drainage areas. There are various methods used to determine the removal rates of each type of BMP. These approved methods are discussed in further detail below.

McCandless' stormwater ordinance goes above and beyond the Chapter 102 NPDES permit requirements for stormwater associated with construction activities, such as requiring stormwater management on projects disturbing less than 1 acre. As a result, the MS4 can take credit for those pollution reductions that will occur from exceeding PADEP regulatory requirements.

In addition to taking credit for new stormwater BMPs from development and redevelopment projects that do not require an NPDES permit, the Town is also planning to propose load reductions through new retrofit BMPs. These types of BMPs are still considered retrofits because the drainage area in which the new BMP will be installed is not being developed or changed. PADEP provides several methods that are scientifically-supported for estimating the pollution reduction potential of new retrofit BMPs. These approved methods for calculating the reductions are the PADEP BMP Effectiveness Values Table and the Expert Panel Removal Rates for Urban Stormwater Retrofit Projects. McCandless plans to calculate the efficiency of the new retrofit BMPs through the PADEP BMP Effectiveness Values Table.

Aside from installing new retrofit BMPs, the Town is also proposing load reductions with existing BMP retrofits through its stormwater BMP Maintenance Program. The Town of McCandless will investigate ways to achieve the required sediment reduction through its Stormwater BMP Maintenance Program. The program was initiated to sustain the performance of stormwater detention facilities within the Town. Although the program focuses on enhancing performance in facilities designed for flood control, McCandless intends to integrate sediment and phosphorus removal as well into its maintenance program.

There are three types of retrofits that can be performed: enhancement, restoration, or conversion. The type of retrofit being done to the BMP determines if a full or an incremental percent removal is utilized. BMP enhancement utilizes the original stormwater treatment mechanism but improves removal by increasing storage volume or hydraulic residence time. Enhanced BMPs will utilize an incremental removal rate. BMP conversions involve retrofit of older existing stormwater ponds, such as converting a dry pond into a constructed wetland or a wet pond. Restoration of a BMP applies to major maintenance upgrades to BMPs which have either failed or lost their original stormwater treatment capacity. Typical major maintenance items include dredging ponds, replanting all vegetation, replacing contaminated soil, or complete rehabilitation. For restoration of existing BMPs, the full percent removal can be credited for the PRP. These approved methods for calculating the reductions are the PADEP BMP Effectiveness

Values Table and the Expert Panel Removal Rates for Urban Stormwater Retrofit Projects. The Town of McCandless plans to calculate the efficiency of the existing BMP retrofits through the PADEP'S BMP Effectiveness Values Table.

The Town of McCandless also has a tree planting program. The tree planting initiative encourages residential and commercial property owners to report the planting of trees on their property. This will serve as an outreach and educational mechanism as well. The program would expand the tree canopy throughout the Town and therefore reduce stormwater runoff. Trees are beneficial for reducing stormwater pollution by taking up nutrients and various pollutants through their root systems. Though tree planting is not a requirement to residents and businesses, the Town will tabulate the number of trees planted within this 5-year cycle and add the result to the amount of sediment removed, which will be determined based on guidance from PADEP. The Town also intends to ask the Environmental Advisory Committee to incorporate a tree canopy investigation as part of its green space inventory.

Though stream restoration projects are classified as structural BMPs, the method used to calculate their reduction efficiency is slightly different then the previously discussed methods. For simplicity purposes, a default effectiveness rate of 115 lb/ft/yr for sediment load will be used for each proposed stream restoration project. To obtain the phosphorus loading rate, a default value of 1.05 pounds of phosphorus per ton of sediment is used.

PADEP provides several suggested methods that are scientifically supported for estimating the pollution reduction potential of structural stormwater BMPs. These methods include the Expert Panel New Development Performance Standards Report and DEP's BMP Effectiveness Values Table. McCandless plans to calculate the efficiency of the structural stormwater BMPs through the PADEP BMP Effectiveness Values Table.

For calculating the pollutant loads generated within the BMP's drainage area, the MMW Spreadsheet Tool was used. The MMW Spreadsheet Tool developed by Barry Evans, Anthony Aufdenkampe, and Mike Hickman is utilized for estimating the pollutant loads in the planning areas. The land cover and sediment and phosphorus loads previously obtained from WikiWatershed are entered into the spreadsheet in order to generate loading rates as pounds per year per acre. These loading rates for the HUC-12 watershed were already calculated and depicted in Appendix B. The drainage area to each BMP is then imported into WikiWatershed as the area of interest to obtain the land cover distribution data. This data is entered into the spreadsheet where it calculates the sediment and phosphorus loads from each type of land cover within the drainage area. The spreadsheet for each analyzed BMP drainage area is located in Appendix D. Appendix E is an overall table detailing the existing loads, the percent removals, and the load reductions of each proposed BMP.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF POTENTIAL BMPS

Each situation for which a potential BMP is considered will be evaluated based on merits (listed in no particular order) such as documented areas of historical flooding, portions of watersheds in floodplains, estimated degradation of a stream greater than or equal to 10% of sediment in the stream where the BMP is proposed, areas of general streambank erosion, the type of BMP/retrofit proposed, and portions of watersheds without stormwater management BMPs. The Town may assume maintenance responsibility for BMPs that detain or retain stormwater with the approval of Town Council. All other situations will remain the responsibility of the private property owner. Operation and maintenance for all BMPs on Town property are the responsibility of the Town.

FUNDING OF POTENTIAL BMPS

Potential BMPs have been identified for permitting purposes in Section 4.1 Potential Structural BMPs. The approval of and funding for each BMP is subject to the Town's budgeting process. Grant opportunities (public and private) will be researched and pursued to buttress local funding.

4.1 Structural BMPs

Public Works Rain Gardens (P01)

- *Location:* Location 1: N40° 35' 23.61", W80° 01' 17.33"
Location 2: N40° 35' 24.35", W80° 01' 14.57"
- *Description:* The Town installed two rain gardens at the Public Works facility. The treated drainage area is approximately 3 acres and includes primarily high density developed area.
- *Estimated Reductions:* The project will reduce 1,712 lbs/year of sediment from Pine Creek - North Park Lake.
- *Operation & Maintenance:* Operation and maintenance of the stormwater facility will be performed by the Town in accordance with the PA Stormwater BMP Manual for the applicable type of BMP.
- *Funding:* Town's Capital Budget, grant opportunities, and other watershed-based funding opportunities.

North Meadows Basin Forebay Retrofit (P02)

- *Location:* N40° 36' 41.34", W80° 03' 35.40"
- *Description:* The Town restored and enhanced the North Meadows Basin Pond by adding a water quality forebay. The treated drainage area is approximately 22 acres and includes primarily medium density developed area.
- *Estimated Reductions:* The project reduced 6,849 lbs/year of sediment from Pine Creek - North Park Lake.

- *Operation & Maintenance:* Operation and maintenance of the stormwater facility will be performed by the Town of McCandless in accordance with the PA Stormwater BMP Manual for the applicable type of BMP.
- *Funding:* Town's Capital Budget.

Vestal Stream Restoration (P03)

- *Location:* Start: N40° 35' 27.2182", W80° 03' 05.035"
End: N40° 35' 18.701", W80° 02' 52.6711"
- *Description:* A maximum of 2,200 LF of Pine Creek may be rehabilitated, however in order to meet the PRP reduction requirement only 485 LF of stream restoration is needed after incorporating the reductions from the Public Works Rain Gardens and North Meadows Basin Forebay Retrofit projects. The actual start and end of the stream segment may adjust depending on the condition of the stream banks during field analysis. Streams that have highly eroded banks will be given priority for streambank restoration.
- *Estimated Reductions:* The potential project can reduce at least 55,775 lbs/year of sediment from Pine Creek - North Park Lake.
- *Operation & Maintenance:* Operation and maintenance of the restored stream will be performed by the Town of McCandless.
- *Funding:* Town's Capital Budget, grant opportunities, and other watershed-based funding opportunities.

Richard Road Stream Restoration (P04)

- *Location:* Start: N40° 36' 31.3546", W80° 03' 24.2897"
End: N40° 36' 27.4324", W80° 03' 32.0606"
- *Description:* A maximum of 740 LF of an unnamed tributary to Wexford Run may be rehabilitated, however in order to meet the PRP reduction requirement only 485 LF of stream restoration is needed after incorporating the reductions from the Public Works Rain Gardens and North Meadows Basin Forebay Retrofit projects. The actual start and end of the stream segment may adjust depending on the condition of the stream banks during field analysis. Streams that have highly eroded banks will be given priority for streambank restoration.
- *Estimated Reductions:* The potential project can reduce at least 55,775 lbs/year of sediment from Pine Creek - North Park Lake.
- *Operation & Maintenance:* Operation and maintenance of the restored stream will be performed by the Town of McCandless.
- *Funding:* Town's Capital Budget, grant opportunities, and other watershed-based funding opportunities.

Wexford Run Stream Restoration (P05)

- *Location:* Start: N40° 36' 43.2919", W80° 03' 48.6647"
End: N40° 36' 20.1348", W80° 03' 37.2384"
- *Description:* A maximum of 3,100 LF of Wexford Run may be rehabilitated, however in order to meet the PRP reduction requirement only 485 LF of stream restoration is needed after incorporating the reductions from the Public Works Rain Gardens and North Meadows Basin Forebay Retrofit projects. The actual start and end of the stream segment may adjust depending on the condition of the stream banks during field analysis. Streams that have highly eroded banks will be given priority for streambank restoration.
- *Estimated Reductions:* The potential project can reduce at least 55,775 lbs/year of sediment from Pine Creek - North Park Lake.
- *Operation & Maintenance:* Operation and maintenance of the restored stream will be performed by the Town of McCandless.
- *Funding:* Town's Capital Budget, grant opportunities, and other watershed-based funding opportunities.

Forest Oaks Detention Basin (P06)

- *Location:* N40° 36' 41.34", W80° 03' 35.40"
- *Description:* The Town's existing detention basin will be restored and converted to provide additional stormwater management and water quality benefits. The treated drainage area is 10.85 acres and includes primarily medium density residential.
- *Estimated Reductions:* The project will reduce 3,335.6 lbs/year of sediment from Pine Creek - North Park Lake.
- *Operation & Maintenance:* Operation and maintenance of the stormwater facility will be performed by the Town of McCandless in accordance with the PA Stormwater BMP Manual for the applicable type of BMP.
- *Funding:* Town's Capital Budget.

Forest Trails Detention Basin (P07)

- *Location:* N40° 36' 41.34", W80° 03' 35.40"
- *Description:* The Town's existing detention basin will be restored and converted to provide additional stormwater management and water quality benefits. The treated drainage area is 15.73 acres and includes primarily low density residential.
- *Estimated Reductions:* The project will reduce 3,637.4 lbs/year of sediment from Pine Creek - North Park Lake.
- *Operation & Maintenance:* Operation and maintenance of the stormwater facility will be performed by the Town of McCandless in accordance with the PA Stormwater BMP Manual for the applicable type of BMP.
- *Funding:* Town's Capital Budget.

4.2 Summary of Proposed BMPs


The proposed BMPs discussed previously will collectively meet the required sediment and phosphorus reductions. Due to unforeseen circumstances such as having to adjust previously proposed projects that were delayed and outside of the Town's control, McCandless may not be able to construct enough of the proposed BMPs prior to the March 15, 2023 deadline; however, the Town is working diligently to implement these projects in a timely matter that is financially possible and reasonably attainable. McCandless recognizes the importance of these PRP projects in addressing sediment and nutrient pollution in stormwater runoff and intends to construct the proposed BMPs for the Pine Creek – North Park Lake watershed. Table 4-1 illustrates the existing load, required reduction, and anticipated reduction. The MS4 will achieve its load reduction requirement for the HUC-12 watershed through the implementation of the proposed BMPs.

Table 4-1: Expected Load Reductions from Proposed BMPs

POLLUTANT	FINAL EXISTING LOAD (lbs/yr)	REQUIRED REDUCTION (lbs/yr)	MINIMUM ACHIEVED REDUCTION (lbs/yr)
Sediment	641,596.06	64,159.61	64,336.00
Phosphorus	222.72	N/A*	N/A*

*In accordance with PADEP guidance, the Town plans to take a presumption approach that a 10% reduction of sediment will also accomplish a 5% phosphorus reduction.

Appendix A – Planning Area Map



On Call. On Time. On Target.

Job No: C-35419-K024
Date November 9, 2022

Scale

Township of McCandless

North Park Pine Creek Planning Area Map



- Planning Area
- Storm Network Structures
- Storm Sewer Manholes
- Storm Sewer Inlets
- Storm Sewer Discharge Point
- Storm Sewer Lines
- Storm Detention Area
- Streams and Rivers
- Road Centerlines
- Municipal Boundaries

Appendix B – Existing Loads without BMPs

Look-Up Table for MMW Loading Rates

Watershed: North Park Lake - Pine Creek

Year: 2022

Source File: User Specified

Section 2: Landcover Loading Rates Look-Up Table

TOTAL WATERSHED ANNUAL LOADS

Source	Area	Sediment	Total Nitrogen	Total Phosphorus	SEDIMENT				NITROGEN				PHOSPHORUS						
					From Land Use	From Stream Banks ①	TOTAL SEDIMENT LOADING RATE	From Land Use	From Stream Banks ①	Farm Animals ②	TOTAL NITROGEN LOADING RATE	From Land Use	From Stream Banks ①	Farm Animals ②	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS LOADING RATE				
	Acres	Tons	Pounds	Pounds	lbs/acre	lbs/acre	lbs/acre	lbs/acre	lbs/acre	lbs/acre	lbs/acre	lbs/acre	lbs/acre	lbs/acre	lbs/acre				
Source	area_ac	sediment_tons	TN_lbs	TP_lbs	Column1	TSS_LoadRateLa	TSS_LoadRateBa	Column2	TN_LoadRateLb	TN_LoadRateBa	TN_LoadRateAa	Column3	TN_LoadRate_LbPerAcP	Column4	TP_LoadRateLand	TP_LoadRateBanks	TP_LoadRateAnimal	TP_LoadRate_LbPerAcP	
Hay/Past	370.37	64.49	456.32	198.05		348.24	282.10		630.34		1.23	0.16	0.99	2.38		0.53	0.09	0.23	0.85
Cropland	61.73	10.30	162.93	39.16		333.56	282.10		615.66		2.64	0.16	0.99	3.79		0.63	0.09	0.23	0.95
Forest	5,659.26	7.47	244.12	27.47		2.64	282.10		284.74		0.04	0.16	n/a	0.20		0.00	0.09	n/a	0.09
Wetland	64.20	0.04	12.63	0.71		1.14	282.10		283.24		0.20	0.16	n/a	0.36		0.01	0.09	n/a	0.10
Disturbed	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00
Turfgrass	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00
Open_Land	91.36	3.02	62.84	8.31		66.13	282.10		348.22		0.69	0.16	n/a	0.85		0.09	0.09	n/a	0.18
Bare_Rock	9.88	0.01	3.15	0.11		1.89	282.10		283.99		0.32	0.16	n/a	0.48		0.01	0.09	n/a	0.10
Sandy_Areas	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00
Unpaved_Road	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00
Ld_Mixed	7,306.17	16.23	960.06	91.86		4.44	378.07		382.51		0.13	0.19	n/a	0.32		0.01	0.11	n/a	0.12
Md_Mixed	1,496.30	48.90	2,175.63	200.39		65.36	614.81		680.16		1.45	0.43	n/a	1.88		0.13	0.19	n/a	0.32
Hd_Mixed	469.14	15.40	680.46	63.24		65.66	838.75		904.40		1.45	0.67	n/a	2.12		0.13	0.25	n/a	0.38
Ld_Residential	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00
Md_Residential	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00
Hd_Residential	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00		0.00	0.00	n/a	0.00

Source	Sediment	Total		
		Tons	Pounds	Pounds
Units		Tons	Pounds	Pounds
Farm Animals		0.00	427.22	100.33
Tile Drainage		0.00	0.00	0.00
Stream Bank ①		2,920.35	3,367.05	1,767.22
Groundwater		0.00	11,508.07	422.63
Point Source		0.00	0.00	0.00
Septic Systems		0.00	4,750.36	0.00

Tons * 2000 lbs/ton

Sum of previous two sources

Tons * 2000 lbs/ton

Sum of previous three sources

Tons * 2000 lbs/ton

Sum of previous three sources

Notes:

① - Separate worksheets are used to calculate and apportion the loading rates from the Stream Bank source loads (for sediment, total nitrogen, and total phosphorus) from the MMW Output file into each land use category, using methodology provided by Dr. Barry Evans (Pennsylvania State University), the author of MapShed, and with concurrence from Mr. Bill Brown (PADEP).

② - A separate worksheet is used to calculate and apportion the "Total Nitrogen" and "Total Phosphorus" loading rates from the Farm Animals source load from the MMW Output file into the two agricultural land uses, Hay/Pasture and Cropland, based on area weighting. The methodology was provided by Dr. Barry Evans (Pennsylvania State University), the author of MapShed, and with concurrence from Mr. Bill Brown (PADEP). Additionally, since the Farm Animals source loads do not apply to other land use categories, the values in those cells are "n/a".

Groundwater	0.0	11,508.1	422.6
Point Source	0.0	0.0	0.0
Septic Systems	0.0	4,750.4	0.0

Look-Up Table for MMW Land Use Loading Rates

Watershed: North Park Lake - Pi

Year: 2022

Section 3: MMW Model Output

This page is where the output data from a multi-year MMW model run is to be copied and pasted into this workbook and is the source data for calculations throughout the workbook.

1. Source File Name - User-specified filename for the output file from MMW (optional).
2. Watershed Name - User-specified name of a watershed for which land use loading rates are being calculated (optional).
3. Source file - The annual pollutant data, in English Units, is copied directly from the MMW output file to the table below.
4. Year - the year modeled (optional).

Data Entered By: KML
Date Data Entered: 8/2/2022
Source File Name: User Specified
Watershed: North Park Lake - Pine Creek
Year: 2022

Model My Watershed OUTPUT DATA				
Source Units	Area acres	Sediment lbs/year	Tot N lbs/year	Tot P lbs/year
Hay/Past	370.37	64.49	456.32	198.05
Cropland	61.73	10.30	162.93	39.16
Forest	5,659.26	7.47	244.12	27.47
Wetland	64.20	0.04	12.63	0.71
Distrubed	-	-	-	-
Turfgrass	-	-	-	-
Open_Land	91.36	3.02	62.84	8.31
Bare_Rock	9.88	0.01	3.15	0.11
Sandy_Areas	-	-	-	-
Unpaved_Road	-	-	-	-
Ld_Mixed	7,306.17	16.23	960.06	91.86
Md_Mixed	1,496.30	48.90	2,175.63	200.39
Hd_Mixed	469.14	15.40	680.46	63.24
Ld_Residential	-	-	-	-
Md_Residential	-	-	-	-
Hd_Residential	-	-	-	-
Farm Animals	-	427.22	100.33	-
Tile Drainage	-	-	-	-
Stream Bank	2,920.35	3,367.05	1,767.22	-
Groundwater	-	11,508.07	422.63	-
Point Source	-	-	-	-
Septic Systems	-	4,750.36	-	-
Totals	15,528.40	3,086.19	24,810.85	2,919.49

MMW NLCD Land Cover Categories for Watershed (from "Analyze" csv file)

TYPE	AREA (km ²)	AREA (acres)
Open Water	0.33	81.48
Perennial Ice/Snow	0	-
Developed, Open Space	16.75	4,135.80
Developed, Low Intensity	12.84	3,170.37
Developed, Medium Intensity	6.06	1,496.30
Developed, High Intensity	1.9	469.14
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	0.04	9.88
Deciduous Forest	19.92	4,700.37
Evergreen Forest	0.1	24.69
Mixed Forest	3.44	849.38
Shrub/Scrub	0.06	14.81
Grassland/Herbaceous	0.37	91.36
Pasture/Hay	1.5	370.37
Cultivated Crops	0.25	61.73
Woody Wetlands	0.23	56.79
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	0.03	7.41
Totals	62.89	15,528.40

Note: The information below is only used for allocation of "urban" loads within a larger watershed boundary

MMW NLCD Land Cover Categories for Urban Area (from second, smaller "Analyze" csv file)

TYPE	AREA (km ²)	AREA (acres)	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	Sediment (lb/yr)
Open Water	0	-	-	-	-
Perennial Ice/Snow	0	-	-	-	-
Developed, Open Space	2.34	577.78	184.89	69.33	221,007.65
Developed, Low Intensity	2.69	664.20	210.54	79.70	254,000.15
Developed, Medium Intensity	0.49	120.99	227.46	34.72	82,291.40
Developed, High Intensity	0.06	14.81	31.41	5.63	13,398.56
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	0	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Deciduous Forest	0.89	219.75	43.95	19.78	62,571.84
Evergreen Forest	0	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mixed Forest	0.01	2.47	0.49	0.22	703.05
Shrub/Scrub	0	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Grassland/Herbaceous	0.02	4.94	0.99	0.44	1,404.11
Resturants	0.02	4.94	11.76	4.20	3,112.78
Cultivated Crops	0.02	4.94	18.72	4.69	3,040.31
Woody Wetlands	0	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	0	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Totals	6.54	1,614.81	732.20	222.72	641,596.06

TYPE	AREA (m ²)	AREA (acres)	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	Sediment (lb/yr)
Open Water	0	0.00	-	-	-
Perennial Ice/Snow	0	0.00	-	-	-
Developed, Open Space	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, Low Intensity	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, Medium Intensity	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, High Intensity	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Deciduous Forest	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Evergreen Forest	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mixed Forest	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Shrub/Scrub	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Grassland/Herbaceous	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Pasture/Hay	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cultivated Crops	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Woody Wetlands	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Totals	0	0.00	-	-	-

TOTAL LOADS

STREAM LENGTH*	KM*	FEET	Sed lb/ft	TN lb/ft	TP lb/ft
Total Length	73.19	240124.7	24.3	0.01	0.01
Ag Streams	1.38	4560.4			
Non-Ag Streams	71.82	235629.9			
Hardened Streams	3.07	10075.00			
Percentage	96%				

* These values can be obtained from the "Stream" tab in the "Analyze" section of a Model My Watershed run

FARM ANIMAL DATA

TYPE*	NUMBER*	AVG WT KG	TOTAL KG	TOTAL AEU	KG N/AEU/DAY	KG P/AEU/DAY	TOTAL N/DAY	TOTAL P/DAY
Chickens, Broilers	0	0.9	0	0	1.07	0.3	0	0
Chickens, Layers	0	1.8	0	0	0.85	0.29	0	0
Cows, Beef	0	360	0	0	0.31	0.09	0	0
Cows, Dairy	0	640	0	0	0.44	0.07	0	0
Horses	0	500	0	0	0.28	0.06	0	0
Pigs/Hogs/Swine	0	61	0	0	0.48	0.15	0	0
Sheep	0	50	0	0	0.37	0.1	0	0
Turkeys	0	6.8	0	0	0.59	0.2	0	0

Daily Totals 0.00 0.00
Poultry Totals 0.00 0.00
Livestock Totals 0.00 0.00
Poultry Fraction #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
Livestock Fraction #DIV/0! #DIV/0!

* These values can be obtained from the "Animal" tab in the "Analyze" section of a Model My Watershed run

Pollutant Load Conversion from Metric to Standard Units (from "Model" csv file)

SOURCE	SEDIMENT (kg)	TOTAL N (kg)	TOTAL P (kg)	SEDIMENT (tons)	TOTAL N (lbs)	TOTAL P (lbs)
Hay/Pasture	58,403.37	206.85	69.92	64,489.043	456,324.75	108,052.61
Cropland	9,338.05	73.89	17.76	10,252.0013	162,927.45	39,160.8
Wooded Areas	6,773.94	110.71	12.46	7,482.888	244,115.55	27,474.3
Wetlands	33.20	5.73	0.32	0.03660	12,634.65	0.7056
Open Land	2,739.73	28.50	3.77	3,020.552325	62,842.5	8,312.85
Barren Areas	8.47	1.43	0.05	0.009338175	3,153.15	0.11025
Low-Density Mixed	14,771.69	453.40	11.62	16,162.003	96,000.00	21,960.03
Medium-Density Mixed	44,930.74	968.68	24.88	46,856.93095	217,629.4	200,390.4
High-Density Mixed	13,969.31	308.60	8.68	15,401.16428	680,463	63,239.4
Other Upland Areas	19,036.16	567.86	15.75	20,987.3664	125,131.3	11,815.875
Farm Animals	0.00	193.75	45.50	0	427,218.75	100,327.75
Stream Bank Erosion	2,648,839.49	152.70	20.16	2,920,345.538	336,704,597	176,219.615
Subsurface Flow	0.00	5,219.08	191.67	0	11508.0714	422,632.35
Point Sources	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Septic Systems	0.00	2,154.36	0.00	0	4750.3638	0

(Note: The values below only pertain to the smaller target area)

STREAM LENGTH	KM*	FEET
Total Length	2.62	8595.8
Ag Streams	0	0.0
Non-Ag Streams	2.62	8595.8

* These values can be obtained from the "Stream" tab in the "Analyze" section of a Model My Watershed run

This occurs when AOI is less than about 2 square kilometer².



* Only use this input block if land cover distribution is given in square meters (m²).

This occurs when AOI is less than about 2 square kilometer².

Sediment	Phosphorus
Developed	570,761.96
Undeveloped	70,834.09
Total	64159.6579
	222,716.0494


Req. Reduction 64159.60579 11.13580247

Appendix C – Proposed Structural BMPs Maps



Date: 1/18/2023
Scale: 1" = 300'



Path: G:\Projects\859000_Upper St. Clair\85374 MSA & TMDL\2017 MSA\PR\GIS\Potential BMP Mapbook\Basis_1_Rain_BMP_Mapbook_1x17.mod



N
E
S
W

Date: 12/14/2022
Scale: 1" = 300'

Township of McCandless
North Park Pine Creek Watershed



Date: 12/14/2022
Scale: 1" = 300'

Path: G:\Projects\859000_Upper St Clair\85374 MSA & TMDL\2017 MSA\PR\GIS\Potential BMP Mapbook\Basis_Run_BMP_Mapbook_1x17.mod

Appendix D – Proposed BMPs Load Calculations

Look-Up Table for MMW Land Use Loading Rates

Watershed: North Park Lake - Pi

Year: 2023

Section 3: MMW Model Output

This page is where the output data from a multi-year MMW model run is to be copied and pasted into this workbook and is the source data for calculations throughout the workbook.

1. Source File Name - User-specified filename for the output file from MMW (optional).
2. Watershed Name - User-specified name of a watershed for which land use loading rates are being calculated (optional).
3. Source file - The annual pollutant data, in English Units, is copied directly from the MMW output file to the table below.
4. Year - the year modeled (optional).

Data Entered By: AAN
 Date Data Entered: 1/16/2023
 Source File Name: Forest Oaks Pond
 Watershed: North Park Lake - Pine Creek
 Year: 2023

Model My Watershed OUTPUT DATA

Source Units	Area acres	Sediment lbs/year	Tot N lbs/year	Tot P lbs/year
Hay/Past	370.37	64.49	456.32	198.05
Cropland	61.73	10.30	162.93	39.16
Forest	5,659.26	7.47	244.12	27.47
Wetland	64.20	0.04	12.63	0.71
Distrubed	-	-	-	-
Turfgrass	-	-	-	-
Open Land	91.36	3.02	62.84	8.31
Bare_Rock	9.88	0.01	3.15	0.11
Sandy_Areas	-	-	-	-
Unpaved_Road	-	-	-	-
Ld_Mixed	7,306.17	16.23	960.06	91.86
Md_Mixed	1,496.30	48.90	2,175.63	200.39
Hd_Mixed	469.14	15.40	680.46	63.24
Ld_Residential	-	-	-	-
Md_Residential	-	-	-	-
Hd_Residential	-	-	-	-
Farm Animals	-	427.22	100.33	-
Tile Drainage	-	-	-	-
Stream Bank	2,920.35	3,367.05	1,767.22	-
Groundwater	-	11,508.07	422.63	-
Point Source	-	-	-	-
Septic Systems	-	4,750.36	-	-
Totals	15,528.40	3,086.19	24,810.85	2,919.49

STREAM LENGTH*	KM*	FEET	Sed lb/ft	TN lb/ft	TP lb/ft
Total Length	73.19	240124.7	24.3	0.01	0.01
Ag Streams	1.38	4560.4			
Non-Ag Streams	71.82	235629.9			
Hardened Streams	3.070859902	10075			
Percentage	96%				

* These values can be obtained from the "Stream" tab in the "Analyze" section of a Model My Watershed run

FARM ANIMAL DATA

TYPE*	NUMBER*	AVG WT KG	TOTAL KG	TOTAL AEU	KG N/AEU/DAY	KG P/AEU/DAY	TOTAL N/DAY	TOTAL P/DAY
Chickens, Broilers	0	0.9	0	0	1.07	0.3	0	0
Chickens, Layers	0	1.8	0	0	0.85	0.29	0	0
Cows, Beef	0	360	0	0	0.31	0.09	0	0
Cows, Dairy	0	640	0	0	0.44	0.07	0	0
Horses	0	500	0	0	0.28	0.06	0	0
Pigs/Hogs/Swine	0	61	0	0	0.48	0.15	0	0
Sheep	0	50	0	0	0.37	0.1	0	0
Turkeys	0	6.8	0	0	0.59	0.2	0	0

Daily Totals 0.00 0.00
 Poultry Totals 0.00 0.00
 Livestock Totals 0.00 0.00
 Poultry Fraction #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
 Livestock Fraction #DIV/0! #DIV/0!

* These values can be obtained from the "Animal" tab in the "Analyze" section of a Model My Watershed run

MMW NLCD Land Cover Categories for Watershed (from "Analyze" csv file)

TYPE	AREA (km ²)	AREA (acres)
Open Water	0.33	81.48
Perennial Ice/Snow	0	-
Developed, Open Space	16.75	4,135.80
Developed, Low Intensity	12.84	3,170.37
Developed, Medium Intensity	6.06	1,496.30
Developed, High Intensity	1.9	469.14
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	0.04	9.88
Deciduous Forest	19.92	4,700.37
Evergreen Forest	0.1	24.69
Mixed Forest	3.44	849.38
Shrub/Scrub	0.06	14.81
Grassland/Herbaceous	0.37	91.36
Pasture/Hay	1.5	370.37
Cultivated Crops	0.25	61.73
Woody Wetlands	0.23	56.79
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	0.03	7.41
Totals	62.89	15,528.40

Pollutant Load Conversion from Metric to Standard Units (from "Model" csv file)

SOURCE	SEDIMENT (kg)	TOTAL N (kg)	TOTAL P (kg)	SEDIMENT (tons)	TOTAL N (lbs)	TOTAL P (lbs)
Hay/Pasture	58,403.37	206.85	69.92	64,489.043	456,324.75	108,052.1
Cropland	9,338.05	73.89	17.76	10,295.20013	162,927.45	39,160.8
Wooded Areas	6,773.94	110.71	12.46	7,482.888	244,115.55	27,474.3
Wetlands	33.20	5.73	0.32	0.03660	12,634.65	0.7056
Open Land	2,739.73	28.50	3.77	3,020.552325	62,842.5	8,312.85
Barren Areas	8.47	1.43	0.05	0.009338175	3,153.15	0.11025
Low-Density Mixed	14,771.69	452.80	81.68	16,162.00023	960,620.3	191,960.3
Medium-Density Mixed	44,930.74	968.68	196.88	46,856.909365	217,629.4	200,390.4
High-Density Mixed	13,969.31	308.60	28.68	15,401.16428	680,463	63,239.4
Other Upland Areas	19,036.16	567.86	53.75	20,987.3664	125,131.3	11,815.1875
Farm Animals	0.00	193.75	45.50	0	427,218.75	100,327.5
Stream Bank Erosion	2,648,839.49	1,527.00	801.46	2920,345,538	3367,045,997	1767,219,615
Subsurface Flow	0.00	5,219.08	191.67	0	11508,071.14	422,632.35
Point Sources	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Septic Systems	0.00	2,154.36	0.00	0	4750,3638	0

Note: The information below is only used for allocation of "urban" loads within a larger watershed boundary

MMW NLCD Land Cover Categories for Urban Area (from second, smaller "Analyze" csv file)

TYPE	AREA (km ²)	AREA (acres)	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	Sediment (lb/yr)
Open Water	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Perennial Ice/Snow	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, Open Space	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, Medium Intensity	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, High Intensity	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Deciduous Forest	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Evergreen Forest	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mixed Forest	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Shrub/Scrub	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Grassland/Herbaceous	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rest/Kroh	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cultivated Crops	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Woody Wetlands	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Totals	43961.07	10.85	11.02	2.26	5,559.30

(Note: The values below only pertain to the smaller target area)

STREAM LENGTH	KM*	FEET
Total Length	2.62	8595.8
Ag Streams	0	0.0
Non-Ag Streams	2.62	8595.8

* These values can be obtained from the "Stream" tab in the "Analyze" section of a Model My Watershed run

TYPE	AREA (m ²)	AREA (acres)
Open Water	0	0.00
Perennial Ice/Snow	0	-
Developed, Open Space	7177.32	1.77
Developed, Low Intensity	15251.8	3.77
Developed, Medium Intensity	19737.62	4.87
Developed, High Intensity	0	0.00
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	0	0.00
Deciduous Forest	1794.33	0.44
Evergreen Forest	0	0.00
Mixed Forest	0	0.00
Shrub/Scrub	0	0.00
Grassland/Herbaceous	0	0.00
Rest/Kroh	0	0.00
Cultivated Crops	0	0.00
Woody Wetlands	0	0.00
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	0	0.00
Totals	43961.07	10.85

Developed	0.00	0.00
Undeveloped	0.00	0.00
Total	0	0
Req. Reduction	0	0

3,335.58

Look-Up Table for MMW Land Use Loading Rates

Watershed: North Park Lake - Pi

Year: 2023

Section 3: MMW Model Output

This page is where the output data from a multi-year MMW model run is to be copied and pasted into this workbook and is the source data for calculations throughout the workbook.

1. Source File Name - User-specified filename for the output file from MMW (optional).
2. Watershed Name - User-specified name of a watershed for which land use loading rates are being calculated (optional).
3. Source file - The annual pollutant data, in English Units, is copied directly from the MMW output file to the table below.
4. Year - the year modeled (optional).

Data Entered By: AAN
 Date Data Entered: 1/16/2023
 Source File Name: Forest Trails Pond
 Watershed: North Park Lake - Pine Creek
 Year: 2023

Model My Watershed OUTPUT DATA

Source Units	Area acres	Sediment tons/year	Tot N lbs/year	Tot P lbs/year
Hay/Past	370.37	64.49	456.32	198.05
Cropland	61.73	10.30	162.93	39.16
Forest	5,659.26	7.47	244.12	27.47
Wetland	64.20	0.04	12.63	0.71
Distrubed	-	-	-	-
Turfgrass	-	-	-	-
Open Land	91.36	3.02	62.84	8.31
Bare_Rock	9.88	0.01	3.15	0.11
Sandy_Areas	-	-	-	-
Unpaved_Road	-	-	-	-
Ld_Mixed	7,306.17	16.23	960.06	91.86
Md_Mixed	1,496.30	48.90	2,175.63	200.39
Hd_Mixed	469.14	15.40	680.46	63.24
Ld_Residential	-	-	-	-
Md_Residential	-	-	-	-
Hd_Residential	-	-	-	-
Farm Animals	-	427.22	100.33	-
Tile Drainage	-	-	-	-
Stream Bank	2,920.35	3,367.05	1,767.22	-
Groundwater	-	11,508.07	422.63	-
Point Source	-	-	-	-
Septic Systems	-	4,750.36	-	-
Totals	15,528.40	3,086.19	24,810.85	2,919.49

STREAM LENGTHS*	KM*	FEET	Sed lb/ft	TN lb/ft	TP lb/ft
Total Length	73.19	240124.7		24.3	0.01
Ag Streams	1.38	4560.4			
Non-Ag Streams	71.82	235629.9			
Hardened Streams	3.070859902	10075			
Percentage	96%				

* These values can be obtained from the "Stream" tab in the "Analyze" section of a Model My Watershed run

FARM ANIMAL DATA

TYPE*	NUMBER*	AVG WT KG	TOTAL KG	TOTAL AEU	KG N/AEU/DAY	KG P/AEU/DAY	TOTAL N/DAY	TOTAL P/DAY
Chickens, Broilers	0	0.9	0	0	1.07	0.3	0	0
Chickens, Layers	0	1.8	0	0	0.85	0.29	0	0
Cows, Beef	0	360	0	0	0.31	0.09	0	0
Cows, Dairy	0	640	0	0	0.44	0.07	0	0
Horses	0	500	0	0	0.28	0.06	0	0
Pigs/Hogs/Swine	0	61	0	0	0.48	0.15	0	0
Sheep	0	50	0	0	0.37	0.1	0	0
Turkeys	0	6.8	0	0	0.59	0.2	0	0

Daily Totals 0.00 0.00
 Poultry Totals 0.00 0.00
 Livestock Totals 0.00 0.00
 Poultry Fraction #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
 Livestock Fraction #DIV/0! #DIV/0!

* These values can be obtained from the "Animal" tab in the "Analyze" section of a Model My Watershed run

MMW NLCD Land Cover Categories for Watershed (from "Analyze" csv file)

TYPE	AREA (km ²)	AREA (acres)
Open Water	0.33	81.48
Perennial Ice/Snow	0	-
Developed, Open Space	16.75	4,135.80
Developed, Low Intensity	12.84	3,170.37
Developed, Medium Intensity	6.06	1,496.30
Developed, High Intensity	1.9	469.14
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	0.04	9.88
Deciduous Forest	19.92	4,700.37
Evergreen Forest	0.1	24.69
Mixed Forest	3.44	849.38
Shrub/Scrub	0.06	14.81
Grassland/Herbaceous	0.37	91.36
Pasture/Hay	1.5	370.37
Cultivated Crops	0.25	61.73
Woody Wetlands	0.23	56.79
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	0.03	7.41
Totals	62.89	15,528.40

Pollutant Load Conversion from Metric to Standard Units (from "Model" csv file)

SOURCE	SEDIMENT (kg)	TOTAL N (kg)	TOTAL P (kg)	SEDIMENT (tons)	TOTAL N (lbs)	TOTAL P (lbs)
Hay/Pasture	58,403.37	206.85	69.92	64,489.043	456,324.75	108,052.1
Cropland	9,338.05	73.89	17.76	10,295.20013	162,927.45	39,160.8
Wooded Areas	6,773.94	110.71	12.46	7,482.888	244,115.55	27,474.3
Wetlands	33.20	5.73	0.32	0.03660	12,634.65	0.7056
Open Land	2,739.73	28.50	3.77	3,020.552325	62,842.5	8,312.85
Barren Areas	8.47	1.43	0.05	0.009338175	3,153.15	0.11025
Low-Density Mixed	14,771.69	453.40	11.62	16,162.003	960,603.00	91,960.3
Medium-Density Mixed	44,930.74	968.68	24.88	46,856.93095	217,629.4	200,390.4
High-Density Mixed	13,969.31	308.60	28.68	15,401.16428	680,463	63,239.4
Other Upland Areas	19,036.16	567.86	53.75	20,987.3664	125,131.3	11,815.1875
Farm Animals	0.00	193.75	45.50	0	427,218.75	100,327.5
Stream Bank Erosion	2,648,839.49	1,527.00	801.46	2920,345,538	3367,045,997	1767,219,615
Subsurface Flow	0.00	5,219.08	191.67	0	11508,071.14	422,632.35
Point Sources	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Septic Systems	0.00	2,154.36	0.00	0	4750,363.8	0

Note: The information below is only used for allocation of "urban" loads within a larger watershed boundary

MMW NLCD Land Cover Categories for Urban Area (from second, smaller "Analyze" csv file)

TYPE	AREA (km ²)	AREA (acres)	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	Sediment (lb/yr)
Open Water	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Perennial Ice/Snow	-	-	-	-	-
Developed, Open Space	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, Medium Intensity	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, High Intensity	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Deciduous Forest	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Evergreen Forest	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mixed Forest	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Shrub/Scrub	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Grassland/Herbaceous	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rest/Kro	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cultivated Crops	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Woody Wetlands	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Totals	-	-	-	-	-

(Note: The values below only pertain to the smaller target area)

STREAM LENGTH	KM*	FEET
Total Length	2.62	8595.8
Ag Streams	0	0.0
Non-Ag Streams	2.62	8595.8

* These values can be obtained from the "Stream" tab in the "Analyze" section of a Model My Watershed run

TYPE	AREA (m ²)	AREA (acres)
Open Water	0	0.00
Perennial Ice/Snow	0	-
Developed, Open Space	13457.46	3.32
Developed, Low Intensity	41269.56	10.19
Developed, Medium Intensity	2691.49	0.66
Developed, High Intensity	0	0.00
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	0	0.00
Deciduous Forest	6280.15	1.55
Evergreen Forest	0	0.00
Mixed Forest	0	0.00
Shrub/Scrub	0	0.00
Grassland/Herbaceous	0	0.00
Rest/Kro	0	0.00
Cultivated Crops	0	0.00
Woody Wetlands	0	0.00
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	0	0.00
Totals	63698.66	15.73

	Sediment	Phosphorus
Developed	0.00	0.00
Undeveloped	0.00	0.00
Total	0	0

Req. Reduction 0 0

3,637.43

Look-Up Table for MMW Land Use Loading Rates

Watershed: North Park Lake - Pi

Year: 2022

Section 3: MMW Model Output

This page is where the output data from a multi-year MMW model run is to be copied and pasted into this workbook and is the source data for calculations throughout the workbook.

1. Source File Name - User-specified filename for the output file from MMW (optional).
2. Watershed Name - User-specified name of a watershed for which land use loading rates are being calculated (optional).
3. Source file - The annual pollutant data, in English Units, is copied directly from the MMW output file to the table below.
4. Year - the year modeled (optional).

Data Entered By: **KML**
 Date Data Entered: **8/2/2022**
 Source File Name: **User Specified**
 Watershed: **North Park Lake - Pine Creek**
 Year: **2022**

Model My Watershed OUTPUT DATA				
Source Units	Area acres	Sediment tons/year	Tot N lbs/year	Tot P lbs/year
Hay/Past	370.37	64.49	456.32	198.05
Cropland	61.73	10.30	162.93	39.16
Forest	5,659.26	7.47	244.12	27.47
Wetland	64.20	0.04	12.63	0.71
Disturbed	-	-	-	-
Turfgrass	-	-	-	-
Open_Land	91.36	3.02	62.84	8.31
Bare_Rock	9.88	0.01	3.15	0.11
Sandy_Areas	-	-	-	-
Unpaved_Road	-	-	-	-
Ld_Mixed	7,306.17	16.23	960.06	91.86
Md_Mixed	1,496.30	48.90	2,175.63	200.39
Hd_Mixed	469.14	15.40	680.46	63.24
Ld_Residential	-	-	-	-
Md_Residential	-	-	-	-
Hd_Residential	-	-	-	-
Farm Animals	-	-	427.22	100.33
Tile Drainage	-	-	-	-
Stream Bank	2,920.35	3,367.05	1,767.22	-
Groundwater	-	-	11,508.07	422.63
Point Source	-	-	-	-
Septic Systems	-	-	4,750.36	-
Totals	15,528.40	3,086.19	24,810.85	2,919.49

STREAM LENGTHS*	KM*	FEET	Sed lb/ft	TN lb/ft	TP lb/ft
Total Length	73.19	240124.7			
Ag Streams	1.39	4560.4			
Non-Ag Streams	71.82	235629.9			
Hardened Streams	3.070859902	10075			
Percentage	96%				

* These values can be obtained from the "Stream" tab in the "Analyze" section of a Model My Watershed run

FARM ANIMAL DATA

TYPE*	NUMBER*	AVG WT KG	TOTAL KG	TOTAL AEU	KG N/AEU/DAY	KG P/AEU/DAY	TOTAL N/DAY	TOTAL P/DAY
Chickens, Broilers	0	0.9	0	0	1.07	0.3	0	0
Chickens, Layers	0	1.8	0	0	0.85	0.29	0	0
Cows, Beef	0	360	0	0	0.31	0.09	0	0
Cows, Dairy	0	640	0	0	0.44	0.07	0	0
Horses	0	500	0	0	0.28	0.06	0	0
Pigs/Hogs/Swine	0	61	0	0	0.48	0.15	0	0
Sheep	0	50	0	0	0.37	0.1	0	0
Turkeys	0	6.8	0	0	0.59	0.2	0	0

Daily Totals 0.00 0.00
 Poultry Totals 0.00 0.00
 Livestock Totals 0.00 0.00
 Poultry Fraction #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
 Livestock Fraction #DIV/0! #DIV/0!

* These values can be obtained from the "Animal" tab in the "Analyze" section of a Model My Watershed run

MMW NLCD Land Cover Categories for Watershed (from "Analyze" csv file)

TYPE	AREA (km^2)	AREA (acres)
Open Water	0.33	81.48
Perennial Ice/Snow	0	-
Developed, Open Space	16.75	4,135.80
Developed, Low Intensity	12.84	3,170.37
Developed, Medium Intensity	6.06	1,496.30
Developed, High Intensity	1.9	469.14
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	0.04	9.88
Deciduous Forest	19.32	4,770.37
Evergreen Forest	0.1	24.69
Mixed Forest	3.44	849.38
Shrub/Scrub	0.06	14.81
Grassland/Herbaceous	0.37	91.36
Pasture/Hay	1.5	370.37
Cultivated Crops	0.25	61.73
Woody Wetlands	0.23	56.79
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	0.03	7.41
Totals	62.89	15,528.40

Pollutant Load Conversion from Metric to Standard Units (from "Model" csv file)

SOURCE	SEDIMENT (kg)	TOTAL N (kg)	TOTAL P (kg)	SEDIMENT (tons)	TOTAL N (lbs)	TOTAL P (lbs)
Hay/Pasture	58,493.37	206.95	89.82	64.48894043	456.32475	198.0531
Cropland	9,338.05	73.89	17.76	10.29520013	162.92745	39.1608
Wooded Areas	6,773.94	110.71	12.46	7.46826885	244.11555	27.4743
Wetlands	33.20	5.73	0.32	0.036603	12.63465	0.7056
Open Land	2,739.73	28.50	3.77	3.020552325	62.8425	8.31285
Barren Areas	8.47	1.43	0.05	0.009338175	3.15315	0.11025
Low-Density Mixed	14,717.69	435.40	41.66	16.22625323	960.057	91.8603
Medium-Density Mixed	44,350.74	986.68	90.88	48.89669085	2175.6294	200.3904
High-Density Mixed	13,969.31	308.60	28.68	15.40116428	680.463	63.2394
Other Upland Areas	19,036.16	567.86	53.75	20.9873664	1252.1313	118.51875
Farm Animals	0.00	193.75	45.50	0	427.21875	100.3275
Stream Bank Erosion	2,648,839.49	1,527.00	801.46	2920.345538	3367.045997	1767.219615
Subsurface Flow	0.00	5,219.08	191.67	0	11508.0714	422.63235
Point Sources	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Septic Systems	0.00	2,154.36	0.00	0	4750.3638	0

Totals 2,818,300.15 11,819.94 1,377.78 3,107.18 26,062.98 3,038.01

Note: The information below is only used for allocation of "urban" loads within a larger watershed boundary

MMW NLCD Land Cover Categories for Urban Area (from second, smaller "Analyze" csv file)

TYPE	AREA (km^2)	AREA (acres)	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	Sediment (lb/yr)
Open Water	-	-	-	-	-
Perennial Ice/Snow	-	-	-	-	-
Developed, Open Space	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, Low Intensity	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, Medium Intensity	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, High Intensity	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Deciduous Forest	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Evergreen Forest	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mixed Forest	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Shrub/Scrub	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Grassland/Herbaceous	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Pasture/Hay	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cultivated Crops	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Woody Wetlands	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Totals	-	-	-	-	-

(Note: The values below only pertain to the smaller target area)
 STREAM LENGTH KM* FEET
 Total Length 2.62 8595.8
 Ag Streams 0 0.0
 Non-Ag Streams 2.62 8595.8

* These values can be obtained from the "Stream

Look-Up Table for MMW Land Use Loading Rates

Watershed: North Park Lake - Pi

Year: 2022

Section 3: MMW Model Output

This page is where the output data from a multi-year MMW model run is to be copied and pasted into this workbook and is the source data for calculations throughout the workbook.

1. Source File Name - User-specified filename for the output file from MMW (optional).
2. Watershed Name - User-specified name of a watershed for which land use loading rates are being calculated (optional).
3. Source file - The annual pollutant data, in English Units, is copied directly from the MMW output file to the table below.
4. Year - the year modeled (optional).

Data Entered By: **KML**
 Date Data Entered: **8/2/2022**
 Source File Name: **User Specified**
 Watershed: **North Park Lake - Pine Creek**
 Year: **2022**

Model My Watershed OUTPUT DATA				
Source Units	Area acres	Sediment tons/year	Tot N lbs/year	Tot P lbs/year
Hay/Past	370.37	64.49	456.32	198.05
Cropland	61.73	10.30	162.93	39.16
Forest	5,659.26	7.47	244.12	27.47
Wetland	64.20	0.04	12.63	0.71
Disturbed	-	-	-	-
Turfgrass	-	-	-	-
Open_Land	91.36	3.02	62.84	8.31
Bare_Rock	9.88	0.01	3.15	0.11
Sandy_Areas	-	-	-	-
Unpaved_Road	-	-	-	-
Ld_Mixed	7,306.17	16.23	960.06	91.86
Md_Mixed	1,496.30	48.90	2,175.63	200.39
Hd_Mixed	469.14	15.40	680.46	63.24
Ld_Residential	-	-	-	-
Md_Residential	-	-	-	-
Hd_Residential	-	-	-	-
Farm Animals	-	-	427.22	100.33
Tile Drainage	-	-	-	-
Stream Bank	2,920.35	3,367.05	1,767.22	-
Groundwater	-	-	11,508.07	422.63
Point Source	-	-	-	-
Septic Systems	-	-	4,750.36	-
Totals	15,528.40	3,086.19	24,810.85	2,919.49

STREAM LENGTH*	KM*	FEET	Sed lb/ft	TN lb/ft	TP lb/ft
Total Length	73.19	240124.7	24.3	0.01	0.01
Ag Streams	1.39	4560.4			
Non-Ag Streams	71.82	235629.9			

* These values can be obtained from the "Stream" tab in the "Analyze" section of a Model My Watershed run

FARM ANIMAL DATA

TYPE*	NUMBER*	AVG WT KG	TOTAL KG	TOTAL AEU	KG N/AEU/DAY	KG P/AEU/DAY	TOTAL N/DAY	TOTAL P/DAY
Chickens, Broilers	0	0.9	0	0	1.07	0.3	0	0
Chickens, Layers	0	1.8	0	0	0.85	0.29	0	0
Cows, Beef	0	360	0	0	0.31	0.09	0	0
Cows, Dairy	0	640	0	0	0.44	0.07	0	0
Horses	0	500	0	0	0.28	0.06	0	0
Pigs/Hogs/Swine	0	61	0	0	0.48	0.15	0	0
Sheep	0	50	0	0	0.37	0.1	0	0
Turkeys	0	6.8	0	0	0.59	0.2	0	0

Daily Totals 0.00 0.00
 Poultry Totals 0.00 0.00
 Livestock Totals 0.00 0.00
 Poultry Fraction #DIV/0! #DIV/0!
 Livestock Fraction #DIV/0! #DIV/0!

* These values can be obtained from the "Animal" tab in the "Analyze" section of a Model My Watershed run

MMW NLCD Land Cover Categories for Watershed (from "Analyze" csv file)

TYPE	AREA (km^2)	AREA (acres)
Open Water	0.33	81.48
Perennial Ice/Snow	0	-
Developed, Open Space	16.75	4,135.80
Developed, Low Intensity	12.84	3,170.37
Developed, Medium Intensity	6.06	1,496.30
Developed, High Intensity	1.9	469.14
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	0.04	9.88
Deciduous Forest	19.32	4,770.37
Evergreen Forest	0.1	24.69
Mixed Forest	3.44	849.38
Shrub/Scrub	0.06	14.81
Grassland/Herbaceous	0.37	91.36
Pasture/Hay	1.5	370.37
Cultivated Crops	0.25	61.73
Woody Wetlands	0.23	56.79
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	0.03	7.41
Totals	62.89	15,528.40

Pollutant Load Conversion from Metric to Standard Units (from "Model" csv file)

SOURCE	SEDIMENT (kg)	TOTAL N (kg)	TOTAL P (kg)	SEDIMENT (tons)	TOTAL N (lbs)	TOTAL P (lbs)
Hay/Pasture	58,493.37	206.95	89.82	64,488.94043	456,324.75	198,053.1
Cropland	9,338.05	73.89	17.76	10,295.20013	162,927.45	39,160.8
Wooded Areas	6,773.94	110.71	12.46	7,468.26885	244,115.55	27,474.3
Wetlands	33.20	5.73	0.32	0.036603	12,634.65	0.7056
Open Land	2,739.73	28.50	3.77	3,020.552325	62,842.5	8,312.85
Barren Areas	8.47	1.43	0.05	0.009338175	3,153.15	0.11025
Low-Density Mixed	14,717.69	435.40	41.66	16,226.25323	960,057	91,860.3
Medium-Density Mixed	44,350.74	986.68	90.88	48,896.69085	2175,6294	200,390.4
High-Density Mixed	13,969.31	308.60	28.68	15,401.16428	680,463	63,239.4
Other Upland Areas	19,036.16	567.86	53.75	20,987.3664	1252,1313	118,518.75
Farm Animals	0.00	193.75	45.50	0	427,21875	100,327.5
Stream Bank Erosion	2,648,839.49	1,527.00	801.46	2920,345538	3367,045997	1767,219615
Subsurface Flow	0.00	5,219.08	191.67	0	11508,0714	422,63235
Point Sources	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0	0
Septic Systems	0.00	2,154.36	0.00	0	4750,3638	0

Totals 2,818,300.15 11,819.94 1,377.78 3,107.18 26,062.98 3,038.01

Note: The information below is only used for allocation of "urban" loads within a larger watershed boundary

MMW NLCD Land Cover Categories for Urban Area (from second, smaller "Analyze" csv file)

TYPE	AREA (km^2)	AREA (acres)	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	Sediment (lb/yr)
Open Water	-	-	-	-	-
Perennial Ice/Snow	-	-	-	-	-
Developed, Open Space	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, Low Intensity	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, Medium Intensity	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Developed, High Intensity	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Barren Land (Rock/Sand/Clay)	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Deciduous Forest	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Evergreen Forest	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mixed Forest	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Shrub/Scrub	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Grassland/Herbaceous	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Pasture/Hay	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cultivated Crops	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Woody Wetlands	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00
Totals	-	-	-	-	-

(Note: The values below only pertain to the smaller target area)

STREAM LENGTH*	KM*	FEET

<tbl_r cells="3

Appendix E – Proposed BMPs Load Reduction Table

Pine Creek - North Park Lake Proposed BMPs Load Reduction Table

BMP ID	BMP Type	Removal Efficiency Determination Method	Existing Sediment Load (lb/yr)	Existing TP Load (lb/yr)	Sediment Removal Efficiency	TP Removal Efficiency	Sediment Load Reduction (lb/yr)	TP Load Reduction (lb/yr)
P01	Public Works Rain Garden Retrofits	BMP Effectiveness Values	2,139.52	0.91	80%	75%	1,711.62	0.68
P02	North Meadows Basin Forebay Retrofit	BMP Effectiveness Values	11,415.69	4.63	60%	20%	6,849.41	0.93
P03	Vestal Park Stream Restoration	BMP Effectiveness Values	55,775.00	29.28	100%	100%	55,775.00	29.28
P04	Richard Road Stream Restoration	BMP Effectiveness Values	55,775.00	29.28	100%	100%	55,775.00	29.28
P05	Wexford Run Stream Restoration	BMP Effectiveness Values	55,775.00	29.28	100%	100%	55,775.00	29.28
P06	Forest Oaks Detention Basin	BMP Effectiveness Values	5,559.30	2.26	60%	20%	3,335.58	0.45
P07	Forest Trails Detention Basin	BMP Effectiveness Values	6,062.38	1.97	60%	20%	3,637.43	0.39
Total							182,859.04	90.30